	Case 2:24-cv-01896-KJM-CSK Docum	ent 9	Filed 06/04/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	THOMAS TREHEARNE,	No	. 2:24-cv-1896 KJ	M CSK P	
13	Plaintiff,				
14	v.	OF	<u>RDER</u>		
15	JOSH HUGGETT,				
16	Defendant.				
17					
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief				
19	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided				
20	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
21	On April 3, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were				
22	served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and				
23	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the				
24	findings and recommendations.				
25	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,				
26	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed				
27	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law				
28	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court				
		1			

	Case 2:24-cv-01896-KJM-CSK Document 9 Filed 06/04/25 Page 2 of 2			
1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be			
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.			
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
4	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 8) are adopted in full; and			
5	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).			
6	DATED: June 3, 2025.			
7	100 00			
8	GENIOR INVESTIGATION HIDGE			
9	SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				